

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,959	09/15/2003	Scott P. Geisler	GP-303359	1039
	90 07/19/2004		EXAMINER	
KATHRYN A General Motors			ARTHUR JEANGLA	UDE, GERTRUDE
Legal Staff, Ma	il Code 482-C23-B21		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48	265-3000		3661	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,959	GEISLER ET A	L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1 11
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglau	ıde 3661	NU
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered t NTHS from the mailing date of th RANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imely. nis communication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 s 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 i Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b ne drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawi	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(ng(s) is objected to. See 3	a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign.	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nati	 ional Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20604.	Paper (08) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Applicatio	on (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,959

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In the abstract, the words "said' should be avoided in lines 5-6.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

翻翻物画的 群群 医肾上腺

Application/Control Number: 10/662,959

Art Unit: 3661

Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of copending Application No. 10/285,317. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to execute a subroutine for at least one of the vehicle feature data, the subroutine being responsive to the driver preference data and the driving workload estimate to initiate the activation or disablement of a function of a vehicle because it would achieve the same result in the use of a method for vehicle information and interaction management.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oi (U.S. Pat 6,738,696) discloses a controller for vehicle with information providing function and recording medium.

Coffee et al. (U.S. Pat 6,611,755) disclose a vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system.

Bunn (U.S. Pat 6,240,3650 disclose an automated vehicle tracking and service provision system.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,959

Art Unit: 3661

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (703) 308-7564. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

July 14, 2004

GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDE